



Mallard Pass

Solar Farm

Mallard Pass Solar Farm

Environmental Statement Volume 2 Appendix 6.3: Landscape and Visual - Consultation Summary

November 2022

PINS Ref: EN010127

Document Ref: EN010127/APP/6.2

Revision P0

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations
2009 - Reg 5 (2) (a)

Appendix 6.3 - Consultation Record and Responses Relevant to ES Chapter 6: Landscape and Visual

- 1.1.1. This appendix provides a summary of the relevant stakeholder engagement and consultation responses with regards to ES Chapter 6: Landscape and Visual [**EN010127/APP/6.1**].

- 1.1.2. Matters raised during consultation in relation to landscape character and visual amenity as well as how and where these matters have been addressed within the ES and are outlined in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Key Matters Raised during Consultation in Relation to Landscape and Visual Matters

Consultee	Date of Consultation	Main Matter Raised	How has this Matter been Addressed	Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES
<i>Scoping Opinion</i>				
PINS	Response to Scoping Opinion	<p><i>National Designated Landscapes</i></p> <p>The Applicant proposes to scope out Designated Landscapes as there are no national landscape designations located within or in close proximity to the site, the nearest being over 50km away.</p> <p>The Inspectorate agreed that, in the absence of any nationally designated landscapes, namely National Parks or Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, within the vicinity of the Proposed Development this matter can be scoped out.</p>	The Inspector's comments are noted. No further action required.	No further action required.
PINS	Response to Scoping Opinion	<p><i>Local Landscape Designations</i></p> <p>The Applicant proposes to scope out Local Landscape Designations (namely an 'Area of Particularly Attractive Countryside' and an 'Area of Local Landscape Value') as there will</p>	Local Landscape Designations (Area of Particularly Attractive Countryside' and an 'Area of Local Landscape Value') have been considered within ES Chapter 6, Landscape and Visual.	ES Chapter 6, LVIA, Section 6.5 [EN010127/APP/6.1] and Figure 6.2 [EN010127/APP/6.3]

Consultee	Date of Consultation	Main Matter Raised	How has this Matter been Addressed	Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES
		<p>be very limited visibility of the Proposed Development from these sites and as such their character will not be affected.</p> <p>The Inspectorate responded that in the absence of a plan showing the location and elevation of these areas in relation to the Proposed Development site, the Inspectorate is not in a position to agree to scope this matter out at this stage.</p>	<p>These designations are not retained in the adopted Local Development Framework planning policy; however, reference to these local designations is made within current evidence base documents including the 2012 Landscape Sensitivity and Capacity Studies (for Service Centres and Wind Turbines).</p>	
PINS	Response to Scoping Opinion	<p><i>Landscape Character Areas (LCAs)</i></p> <p>The Applicants Scoping Report stated that LCAs over 1km from the site would be scoped out of the assessment as there is limited visibility of the Proposed Development from these areas. However, Table 10.1 suggests that Welland Valley LCA is scoped out despite it being “approximately 1km away”.</p> <p>The Inspectorate responded that in the absence of information, such as a plan</p>	<p>ES Chapter 6 identifies that due to the distance (approximately 2.km) from the Solar PV Site as shown on Figure 6.5, and intervening landscape features limiting potential intervisibility, potential landscape effects on the Welland Valley Landscape Character Area (LCA) are unlikely to be significant.</p>	<p>ES Chapter 6, LVIA, Section 6.2 and Figure 6.3</p>

Consultee	Date of Consultation	Main Matter Raised	How has this Matter been Addressed	Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES
		<p>demonstrating the location of the LCAs in relation to the site boundary, the Inspectorate is not in a position to agree to scope these matters from the assessment at present without further explanation and justification.</p>		
PINS	Response to Scoping Opinion	<p><i>Registered Parks and Gardens – Greatford Hall and Uffington Park</i></p> <p>The Applicant proposed to scope out the grade II listed Greatford Hall and Uffington Park Registered Parks and Gardens (RPG) receptors, as there is a lack of intervisibility between the Proposed Development and the cultural heritage designation.</p> <p>The Inspectorate responded that in the absence of more detailed information such as topography and the sensitivity of views from these receptors, the Inspectorate is not in a position to agree to scope these matters from the assessment. Therefore, the ES should include an assessment of this matter or</p>	<p>In accordance with the methodology, RPGs are not assessed as part of the LVIA but are used to inform the consideration of the landscape value of the relevant character areas in which they lie.</p>	<p>ES Chapter 8, Cultural Heritage.</p> <p>The locations of the RPG's are shown on LVIA Figure 6.2.</p>

Consultee	Date of Consultation	Main Matter Raised	How has this Matter been Addressed	Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES
		provide information to demonstrate the absence of a likely significant effect.		
PINS	Response to Scoping Opinion	<p><i>Registered Parks and Gardens (RPG's) – Burghley House and Holywell Hall Park</i></p> <p>The Applicant proposed to scope out Burghley House (Grade II*) and Holywell Hall Park (Grade II) RPGs on the basis that there is limited visibility of the Proposed Development from these receptors.</p> <p>The Scoping Report noted that although Burghley House is located within the 2km study area (approximately 1.5km at its closest point) it is over 2.3km from the solar arrays and a landscape buffer would be proposed to reduce visibility.</p> <p>The Inspectorate considered that as some potential for views of the Proposed Development are acknowledged to exist and places some reliance on the landscape buffers which are to be confirmed. The ES should</p>	In accordance with the methodology, RPGs are not assessed as part of the LVIA but are used to inform the consideration of the landscape value of the relevant character areas in which they lie.	<p>ES Chapter 8, Cultural Heritage.</p> <p>The locations of the RPG's are shown on LVIA Figure 6.2.</p>

Consultee	Date of Consultation	Main Matter Raised	How has this Matter been Addressed	Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES
		<p>therefore include an assessment of effects on these receptors and provide detailed justification for scoping out further assessment. The Applicant should seek to agree such approaches with relevant consultation bodies, where possible.</p>		
PINS	Response to Scoping Opinion	<p><i>Residential Visual Amenity Assessment (RVAA)</i></p> <p>The Applicant proposed to scope out the RVAA as the Proposed Development would be set back from the existing settlement and properties and due to the nature of the development would not give rise any overbearing effects.</p> <p>The Inspectorate considered that as this matter depends upon undeveloped areas as a landscape buffer and the layout of the scheme has not yet been confirmed, PINS are not in a position to agree to scope out this matter. The ES should assess any potential likely significant effect and/or describe any proposed mitigation measures, as well as</p>	<p>The effects on the private views from the relevant properties are considered in a separate Residential Visual Amenity Assessment (Appendix 6.4).</p>	<p>ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Appendix 6.4 (RVAA).</p>

Consultee	Date of Consultation	Main Matter Raised	How has this Matter been Addressed	Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES
		methods by which to secure these. Where such measures are locationally specific, a plan would assist with the understanding of the effects.		
PINS	Response to Scoping Opinion	<p><i>Access and Recreation Assessment (ARA)</i></p> <p>The Applicant proposed to scope out the separate Access and Recreation Assessment (ARA) from the LVIA. However, no justification was provided within the Scoping Report.</p> <p>In the absence of evidence and in light of the potential for the Proposed Development to impact existing Public Rights of Way (PRoW), the Inspectorate cannot agree to scope this matter out and an assessment of likely significant effects should be undertaken.</p>	The effects on public rights of way crossing the Order limits (and within a wider study area) are further considered within the ARA appended to the LVIA (Appendix 6.5).	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Appendix 6.5 (ARA).
<i>LCC, RCC and SKDC correspondence</i>				
LCC / RCC / SKDC	7 th and 10 th January 2022	<p><i>Locations of Representative Viewpoints</i></p> <p>The locations of the representative and illustrative viewpoints were the subject of consultation via letter with LCC on 10th</p>	The additional viewpoints requested by LCC / AHH have been included within the representative viewpoints (Figure 6.8.1 –	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Figure 6.8.1 – 6.8.20 and Figure 6.9.A – 6.9.H

Consultee	Date of Consultation	Main Matter Raised	How has this Matter been Addressed	Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES
		<p>January 2022, RCC on 7th January 2022 and SKDC on 7th January 2022.</p> <p>A consultation response was received from AAH consultants on behalf of LCC on 5th May 2022 (TMO1) requesting the inclusion of a number of additional viewpoints. These viewpoints were subsequently included in this chapter as representative or illustrative viewpoints. No response was received from RCC or SKDC on the LVIA viewpoint locations at that stage.</p>	<p>6.8.20) and the illustrative viewpoints (Figure 6.9.A – 6.9.H) of the submission LVIA.</p>	
LCC	5 th May 2022	<p><i>Locations of Representative Viewpoints</i></p> <p>The locations of the representative and illustrative viewpoints were the subject of consultation with AHH consultants working on behalf of Lincolnshire County Council between 5th April and 5th May 2022. A number of additional viewpoints were requested by AHH consultants within Landscape Technical Memo 1 on 5th May 2022 and these have been included within this LVIA within illustrative</p>	<p>The additional viewpoints requested by AHH consultants have been included within the submission LVIA Figure 6.8.1 – 6.8.20 and Figure 6.9.A – 6.9.H.</p>	<p>ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Figure 6.8.1 – 6.8.20 and Figure 6.9.A – 6.9.H</p>

Consultee	Date of Consultation	Main Matter Raised	How has this Matter been Addressed	Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES
		viewpoints (Figure 6.9.A – 6.9.H) as requested.		
<i>PEIR Stage 1 and 2 Consultations</i>				
LCC	3 rd August 2022	AAH issued a Technical Memo on 5 th May 2022 (TMO1) requesting the inclusion of additional viewpoints which were not included within the LVIA for the Stage 2 PEIR.	The additional viewpoints requested by AAH within the TMO1 on 5 th May 2022 are contained within the submission LVIA. A total of 28 no. representative and illustrative viewpoints have been included within the LVIA to consider the effects on a variety of different receptor groups at different distances and directions from the Order limits. It should be noted that the assessments within the LVIA are not limited to these viewpoint locations.	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Figure 6.8.1 – 6.8.20 and Figure 6.9.A – 6.9.H
LCC	3 rd August 2022	Requests inclusion of the Lincolnshire Historic Landscape Characterisation Project within the LVIA.	The LVIA has included a review of Lincolnshire Historic Landscape Characterisation Project to inform the baseline study. The Order limits are located within the Southern Cliff Regional Character Area 7 (RCA 7) and specifically within the Kesteven Parklands Character Zone (SCL3) of the	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Section 6.3

Consultee	Date of Consultation	Main Matter Raised	How has this Matter been Addressed	Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES
			Lincolnshire Historic Landscape Characterisation Project.	
LCC	3 rd August 2022	Development parameters regarding the detailed heights of the main solar PV components including the substation and ancillary buildings requires further clarification as a development parameter.	<p>The development parameters are clearly set out within the ES Chapter 5, Project Description, Appendix 5.1 and – Works Plans.</p> <p>The applicant has sought to reduce the overall heights of the key components as far as possible within the technical constraints of the solar PV development.</p>	ES Chapter 5, Project Description, Appendix 5.1
LCC	3 rd August 2022	ZTV to be updated to reflect the updated development parameters and for the taller solar PV components.	The ZTV's have been updated to show the extent of potential visibility for the solar arrays at 3.3m height or the maximum height parameter for the Fixed South Facing (FSF) or Single Axis Tracker (SAT) panels. A ZTV has also been generated at 13m height to consider the maximum height parameter for the Onsite Substation within the Order limits.	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Figure 6.6
LCC	3 rd August 2022	Further justification to be provided for the extent of the 2km study area within the LVIA as the ZTV indicates that visibility extends	The ZTV mapping indicates areas of potential visibility based upon digital ground model and topographic data. The ZTV combined with fieldwork has shown that the likely significant	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Section 6.2, Figure 6.6

Consultee	Date of Consultation	Main Matter Raised	How has this Matter been Addressed	Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES
		beyond this distance particularly to the north-west of the Site.	<p>visual effects would be contained within a 2km study area.</p> <p>The visual effects beyond 2km distance from the Solar PV Site and Onsite Substation are likely to result in a Negligible magnitude of change at most. The 2km study area is therefore considered to be appropriate for the LVIA.</p>	
LCC	3 rd August 2022	Review and clarify the potential visibility of any above ground cabling within the LVIA.	<p>Cable routes would generally be buried along the boundaries of maintenance access tracks with limited visual effects.</p> <p>The above ground cabling would not be pole mounted and would generally be limited to the Onsite Substation and Point of Connection (PoC) infrastructure near the existing Ryhall substation. The visual effects of any above ground cabling will be considered within the LVIA.</p>	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Section 6.5
LCC	3 rd August 2022	AHH/LCC requested that photomontages are undertaken in accordance with AVR Level 2 or Level 3 standards.	The photomontages within the Stage 2 PEIR were produced to AVR Level 3 standards which are considered to be appropriate to	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Appendix 6.2

Consultee	Date of Consultation	Main Matter Raised	How has this Matter been Addressed	Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES
			make informed judgements regarding the visual effects arising from the Proposed Development. The photomontages within the submission LVIA are also to AVR Level 3 standards.	
LCC	3 rd August 2022	LVIA should acknowledge that many of the local roads are also used as pedestrian routes between recreational footpaths/PRoW network.	<p>The submission LVIA acknowledges that some of the rural lanes are also used by walkers as links between the existing PRoW network.</p> <p>The Proposed Development will include three new permissive paths connecting into the wider network of PRoW and rural lanes as a recreation benefit.</p>	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Section 6.5 and ARA - Appendix 6.5
LCC	3 rd August 2022	Potential loss of hedgerows from any road widening to facilitate construction or site access points to 10.5m width for the primary access point and 6.5m wide for the secondary access points (with visibility splays) to be assessed within LVIA.	<p>The potential loss of hedgerows arising from the Proposed Development will be detailed within the Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA).</p> <p>Whilst sections of hedgerow will require removal for access points and visibility splays, the proposed Green Infrastructure Strategy Plan (Figure 6.11) would compensate for this</p>	ES Chapter 15, Other Matters – Appendix 15.2 (AIA)

Consultee	Date of Consultation	Main Matter Raised	How has this Matter been Addressed	Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES
			and result in an overall net gain of the Order limit's native tree and hedgerow resource in the long term.	
LCC	3 rd August 2022	Figure 3.1, Extents of the Site, Solar PV Site, Mitigation and Enhancement Areas and Potential Highways Works – confirm whether this will be issued as a parameter plan.	Figure 3.1 would not be issued as a parameter plan. The spatial limits and development parameters with deviations will be described within ES Chapter 5, Project Description, Appendix 5.1 and the Works Plans.	ES Chapter 5, Project Description, Appendix 5.1
LCC	3 rd August 2022	Figure 6.5, Access and Recreation Plan – confirm whether the light blue area indicates a fixed development parameter for the Solar PV Site.	The light blue areas on Figure 6.5 submitted for the Stage 2 PEIR indicated the extent of the Solar PV Site. The extent of the Solar PV Site is shown on Figure 6.5 of the LVIA and does not form a parameter plan.	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Figure 6.5
LCC	3 rd August 2022	Figure 6.6, ZTV and Viewpoints Plan – requests inclusion of PRow and additional ZTV's for the solar PV modules and substations on a larger scale plan (approximately 1:25,000 scale).	Figure 6.7 includes the PRow alignments overlaid with the ZTV. The ZTV Plan has been enlarged to read at 1:20,000 at A1 / 1:40,000 at A3.	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Figure 6.7
LCC	3 rd August 2022	Figure 6.7, Zone of Visual Influence and Visual Receptor Groups – requests a further	The Zone of Visual Influence (ZVI) within Figure 6.7 reflects the application Solar PV	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Figure 6.7

Consultee	Date of Consultation	Main Matter Raised	How has this Matter been Addressed	Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES
		review on site of ZVI which appears to be limited in extent.	Site extent and development parameters within the LVIA.	
LCC/ RCC	3 rd August 2022 / 9 th July 2020	Figures 6.8.1 – 6.8.14, Photopanel – confirm that they are in accordance with the Landscape Institute’s TGN 06/19 <i>Visual representation of development proposals</i> .	<p>Figures 6.8.1 – 6.8.20, Photopanel are provided for the representative viewpoints in accordance with the Type 1 (context) standards. Best practice guidance within the Landscape Institute’s TGN 06/19 <i>Visual representation of development proposals</i> allows for some flexibility in terms of frame and image sizes as these are not photomontages of the Proposed Development and are included to show the viewing context only.</p> <p>In accordance with TGN 06/19, single frames can also be provided on an A3 sheet, although these were not considered appropriate in this case as they would only show limited proportion of the Solar PV Site and its viewing context.</p>	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Figures 6.8.1 – 6.8.20

Consultee	Date of Consultation	Main Matter Raised	How has this Matter been Addressed	Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES
LCC	3 rd August 2022	Figures 6.9.1 – 6.9.5, Photomontages – viewpoints 1, 2, 4, 8 and 11 have been selected although no consultation was undertaken with LCC to confirm these locations.	<p>The photomontages undertaken for Viewpoints 1, 2, 4, 8 and 11 are considered to illustrate the key landscape and visual effects arising from the Proposed Development.</p> <p>The photomontages are provided as visual aids although their inclusion or absence does not affect the overall findings of the LVIA. Consultation was undertaken regarding the selection of the representative viewpoints which is a requirement of GLVIA3. Following the site meeting on 5th October it was agreed that no further photomontages would be required although Viewpoint 11 showing the Onsite Substation would need to be updated.</p>	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Figures 6.10.A – 6.10.E
LCC	3 rd August 2022	Figure 6.10, Stage 2 Green Infrastructure Strategy Plan – confirm whether this will be issued as a parameter plan.	The proposed Green Infrastructure Strategy Plan is included in the oLEMP. The parameters for built development, as compared to green infrastructure, are shown on the Works Plans.	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Figure 6.10

Consultee	Date of Consultation	Main Matter Raised	How has this Matter been Addressed	Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES
LCC	3 rd August 2022	LVIA methodology to clarify what an “ <i>undue consequence</i> ” is with regards to the susceptibility of receptors.	<p>In para 5.40 of GLVIA3, the susceptibility to change “<i>means the ability of the landscape receptor...to accommodate the proposed development without undue consequences for the maintenance of the baseline situation and/or achievement of landscape planning and strategies.</i>”</p> <p>The interpretation of ‘undue consequence’ on a scale of high, medium and low is a therefore a professional judgement regarding the degree to which the baseline situation would be maintained with the development in place.</p>	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Appendix 6.2
LCC / SKDC	3 rd August 2022 / 11 th August 2022	PEIR Stage 2, LVIA methodology paragraph 1.1.17 to provide further criteria and examples of the receptors cited.	Typical examples of visual receptor susceptibility, value and overall sensitivity have been provided in the Table 8 of the LVIA methodology (Appendix 6.2).	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Appendix 6.2
LCC/ RCC/ SKDC	3 rd August 2022 / 9 th August 2022 / 11 th August 2022	Landscape Institute TGN 02/21 <i>Assessing the value outside landscape designations</i> should be included as a reference document within the approach to the LVIA of the forthcoming ES chapter.	The LVIA in the ES has considered the guidance and criteria within TGN 02/21 when assessing the landscape value of the Order limits which is located outside of a statutory and non-statutory landscape designations.	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Appendix 6.2

Consultee	Date of Consultation	Main Matter Raised	How has this Matter been Addressed	Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES
LCC/ SKDC	3 rd August 2022 / 11 th August 2022	PEIR Stage 2, LVIA methodology paragraph 1.1.17 to provide further criteria and examples of the receptors cited.	Typical examples of visual receptor susceptibility, value and overall sensitivity have been provided in the Table 8 of the LVIA methodology (Appendix 6.2).	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Appendix 6.2
LCC/ RCC/ SKDC	3 rd August 2022 / 9 th August 2022 / 11 th August 2022	Landscape Institute TGN 02/21 <i>Assessing the value outside landscape designations</i> should be included as a reference document within the approach to the LVIA of the forthcoming ES chapter.	The LVIA in the ES has considered the guidance within TGN 02/21 when assessing the landscape value of the Order limits which is located outside of a statutory and non-statutory landscape designations.	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Appendix 6.2
LCC/ RCC	3 rd August 2022 / 9 th August 2022	LVIA methodology – no description of the value of views is provided.	Typical examples of visual receptor susceptibility, value and overall sensitivity are provided in Table 8 of the LVIA methodology (Appendix 6.2).	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Appendix 6.2
RCC/ SKDC	9 th August 2022 / 11 th August 2022	Requests a valued landscape 'test' as per the meaning of the para 174(a) of the NPPF.	Paragraph 174(a) of the NPPF advises that <i>"planning policies and decisions should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by (a) protecting and enhancing valued landscapes...(in a manner commensurate with their status or identified quality in the development plan.)"</i>	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Section 6.3 and 6.5

Consultee	Date of Consultation	Main Matter Raised	How has this Matter been Addressed	Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES
			<p>The Order limits are not located within any statutory or non-statutory landscape designations such as a National Park, AONB or SLA. An assessment of the landscape value has been undertaken within the LVIA in the ES, although the Order limits are not considered to be located within a valued landscape in accordance with the interpretation of para 174(a) of the NPPF.</p>	
RCC	9 th August 2022	Candidate cumulative schemes for the LVIA to be agreed with RCC as a project milestone.	The candidate cumulative schemes to be assessed within the LVIA were previously submitted within Chapter 19 and Appendix 19.1 of the Stage 2 PEIR. The cumulative schemes as agreed with RCC have been further assessed within the LVIA.	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Section 6.5
RCC/ SKDC	9 th August 2022 / 11 th August 2022	Requests further definition within the LVIA methodology regarding the significance ratings.	The significance of effects are judgements based upon the sensitivity of the particular landscape and visual receptor and the magnitude of change arising from the Proposed Development. The significance of effect is the combination of this criteria which	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Appendix 6.2

Consultee	Date of Consultation	Main Matter Raised	How has this Matter been Addressed	Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES
			is set out and defined within the LVIA methodology.	
LCC	3 rd August 2022	RVAA – a study area of 100m from the Site has been included although this should be extended beyond 100m in relation to the proposed substation and ancillary buildings of higher elevation.	The study area of 100m from the Solar PV Site is considered to be appropriate for the RVAA. The RVAA submitted in the Stage 2 PEIR did not identify any overbearing effects on the residential properties located within 100m of the Solar PV Site and Onsite Substation and this is also the case at submission. As such, it is not considered necessary to extend the study area beyond 100m as no overbearing effects were identified within this study area.	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Appendix 6.4 (RVAA)
RCC/ SKDC	9 th August 2022 / 11 th August 2022	Night-time assessments have been provided within LVIA although no night-time photography has been submitted.	The Proposed Development would generally remain unlit with the exception of manually operated and motion-detection lighting utilised for operational and security purposes at the Onsite Substation. No areas of the Solar PV Site would be continuously lit. The lighting of the Onsite Substation and ancillary buildings would be in accordance with Health and Safety requirements.	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Section 6.5

Consultee	Date of Consultation	Main Matter Raised	How has this Matter been Addressed	Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES
			<p>The Order limits are generally located within relatively low ambient lighting levels. However, existing light sources are associated with street lighting within Carlby and Essendine including the industrial buildings to the south of Essendine as well as from moving trains along the East Coast Mainline Railway. These will locally increase the ambient light levels in the surroundings of the Onsite Substation and ancillary buildings.</p> <p>In this context, the Applicant considers that the provision of night-time photography would not be necessary to inform the decision-making process.</p>	
LCC/ RCC/ SKDC	3 rd August 2022 / 9 th August 2022 / 11 th August 2022	LVIA methodology identifies effects of Major-Moderate as being significant – usually the threshold for significant effects are considered to be Moderate and above.	Within the EIA Regs, judgements regarding the likelihood of significant or not significant effects must be clearly set out by a suitably qualified consultant. However, no particular threshold is given for the determination of significant or not significant effects, and it is for the assessor to determine this threshold.	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Appendix 6.2

Consultee	Date of Consultation	Main Matter Raised	How has this Matter been Addressed	Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES
			<p>The significance ratings within the LVIA methodology indicates a 'sliding scale' of the relative importance of effects with Major being the most important and Minimal being the least important. Effects that are judged to be 'Major' or 'Major-Moderate' are deemed to be significant. Effects which are of 'Moderate' significance or less are judged to be not significant. Further justification or explanation for the significance of effects is provided within the LVIA in accordance with the methodology in Appendix 6.2.</p> <p>The LVIA methodology submitted for the Stage 2 PEIR has been considered appropriate for other DCO / NSIP applications and has been tested and considered acceptable at numerous other examinations and planning appeals.</p>	
<p>Site Walkover Meeting with LCC, RCC and SKDC on 5th October 2022</p>				

Consultee	Date of Consultation	Main Matter Raised	How has this Matter been Addressed	Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES
SKDC	5 th October 2022	Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) – hectare area calculations to be provided for each soil grading across the Solar PV Site.	Area calculations for the Solar PV Site for each agricultural land classification to be provided within ES Chapter 12, Land Use and Soils.	ES Chapter 12, Land Use and Soils
RCC	5 th October 2022	Sheep grazing beneath PV Arrays – how will this dual use be secured during the operational stage of the Proposed Development.	Sheep grazing to managed in strips as secured through the oLEMP, compliance with which is secured by the DCO.	oLEMP
RCC	5 th October 2022	Permissive footpaths – how will these be maintained during the operational stage of the Proposed Development.	The permissive footpaths would be maintained for access as specified within the oLEMP compliance with which is secured by the DCO.	oLEMP
LCC	5 th October 2022	Planting specifications requested for areas shown on the proposed Green Infrastructure Plan (Figure 6.11).	Outline planting specifications have been provided within the oLEMP.	oLEMP
LCC	5 th October 2022	Visualisations - further detail to be provided on the Onsite Substation.	The rendered visualisation at representative viewpoint 11 has been updated to provide further details of the Onsite Substation.	ES Chapter 6, LVIA - Figures 6.10.A – 6.10.E.

